

Construction of Unique Buildings and Structures





One approach for extrapolation of rock mass parameters in tunneling

Z. Zafirovski¹

University Ss Cyril and Methodius, blvd. Goce Delcev 9, 1000 Skopje, R. Macedonia

ARTICLE INFO
Article history

Received 20 May 2015
Accepted 30 May 2015

Accepted 30 May 2015

extrapolation,
tunnel constructions,
geophysical testing,
geotechnical model,
rock masses,

ABSTRACT

Designing of tunnels directly depends on the interactions of rock masses with engineering structures, which are examined in the paper. The essential issue is extrapolation of the parameter from the testing zone to the whole volume that is of interest for analyzing interactions in the system rock mass-structure. The paper exposes Empirical-Statical-Dynamical (ESD) methodology of extrapolation. That methodology is based on a combination of geotechnical and geophysical testing and rock mass classification, connected with definition of adequate regressive models.

Contents

Introduction	151
Short review of the literature	151
Methods of analysis	151
Results	152
Summary	155

zafirovski@gf.ukim.edu.mk (Zlatko Zafirovski, Ph.D., Associate Professor)

Corresponding author:

Introduction

This article describes a methodology that shows how it is possible to integrate all these approaches in a problem for extrapolation of the parameters for hydrotechical tunnels.

During the design process for tunnels in hydrotechics, one of the main problems is how to extrapolate the deformability and shear strength rock mass parameters from the zone of testing to the whole area (volume) of interest for interaction analyses between structure *abd* natural environments. Computers development in recent decades has contributed to the development of numerical calculation method in rock mechanics which enabled new and wider possibilities of stress and deformation calculation. This had significantly stimulated the development of rock mechanics and tunneling as scientific and technical discipline as well as the wider application of research results into practice [1-17].

Short review of the literature

The extrapolation procedures are innitally developed for design problems at large dams by Kujundžić [2], [5], but later is constantly expanded oby Lokin and Čolić 1980, 1990 and 1996; Lokin, Lapčević, Petričević, (1989); Čolić, Manojlović, (1983); Jovanovski, Gapkovski, 1998; Jovanovski et al., (2000); Jovanovski, Gapkovski, Ilijovski, (2002, 2003, 2004); Ilijovski, Jovanovski, Velevski, (2004); Ilijovski, (2005) etc.

Contribution to defining deformability and shear strength of rock massif through empirical failure criteria is given by Hoek and Brown, (1980, 1983, 1988).

Classification systems developed in the field of rock mechanics that need to be highlighted are Geomechanical Classification – Rock Mass Rating system (Bieniawski, 1970, 1973, 1974, 1975,

1976, 1979, 1989); RSR - Rock Structure Rating (Wickham, Tiedemam and Skinner, 1972, 1974); Q system - Rock Mass Quality (Barton, Lien and Lunde, 1974).

Methods of analysis

Limitations in a process of investigation in rock masses comes from the fact that the whole tunnel length cannot be completely covered with detailed geological and geotechnical investigations. So, it is necessary to find a way to extrapolate the necessary parameters from smaller volume of testing zone to the whole volume of the rock mass along the tunnel length.

The given approach in a frame of this article can be defined as Empirical–Static-Dynamic (ESD) methodology of extrapolation. The prerequisite for using this methodology is following:

- to have enough data for reliable rock mass classification.
- to have enough testing data for deformability with static tests.
- whole structural zone (in this case tunnel) to be covered with geophysical seismic tests.

Such testing must be performed in a manner that will insure reliable data for geotechnical modeling of the whole area along the tunnel length.

One of the key problems here is to divide tunnel length in so called quasi-homogenous zones with relative uniformity of the deformability and shear strength rock mass properties as basic and constitutive elements of geological model.

Inside such zone some conditions or properties are the similar in every point, and very different outside it. Each and every zone is determined by space limits and consists, in some way, properties which are important for the study.

It shall be noted, that the process of extrapolation is strictly connected and interrelated to the process of geotechnical modelling of the terrain. The complex geotechnical model is consisted of three basic models (Pavlović, 1995, 1996):

- model of natural geological environment; '
- model of engineering activity geotechnical model in narrow sense (GM);
- model of interaction model of stress-strain behavior.

A flowing-chart which shows the connections between each model is presented in figure 1.




Figure 1. Steps for connection between different models

It can be underlined that the model of engineering activity and the model of interaction are final phases of geotechnical modelling.

Results

To illustrate the methodology, one example is shown for the hydro technical tunnel constructed for the area of arch dam "Sveta Petka" on a river Treska in Republic Macedonia (Fig. 2, Tab. 1).




Figure 2. Engineering Geological Section for hydro technical tunnel for arch dam "Sveta Petka" in Republic of Macedonia

In the Figure 2 the following notation:

M- marbles; M'-foliated marbles; al-alluvial deposits; GWL-groundwater level; DP-1-boreholes; GD-investigation galleries; Rz-fault zones; P-faults; D-deformation modulus; E-elasticity modulus; Vp-value of longitudinal seismic waves defined with geophysical tests; Q-value of rock mass quality after Barton et all; RMR-Rock Mass Rating after Bieniawski.

Table 1. Hydro	technical	tunnel for	arch dam	"Sveta Petka"
----------------	-----------	------------	----------	---------------

Zone	Deformation modulus, D [MPa]	Elasticity modulus E [MPa]	Value of longitudinal seismic waves defined with geophysical tests, <i>Vp</i> [m/s]	Value of rock mass quality after Barton et all, Q	Rock Mass Rating after Bieniawski, RMR
Α	3900-4500	8900-12000	4000-4600	1.46	49
В	4900-5800	11000-14000	4600-4700	1.58	52-56
С	4900	11000	4700	1.80	54
D	700-800	1600-1700	2600	0.40	21-25
Е	6500-7500	15000-17000	4800-5000	1.66-2.0	57
F	3200-3500	7000-8000	3600	1.33	48

To define this Engineering-Geological Section, the following methodology of investigations is used:

- 1. Collection of data for rock massif test results, particularly laboratory and field test results of strength, deformation, discontinuities and other parameters.
- 2. Specific laboratory and field testing for a specific purposes.
- 3. Statistical analysis of the tested parameters.

After that, all of the results from geological, geotechnical and geophysical investigations were used for establishing physical model through the RMR, Q and GSI classification.

Correlations between the quality of rock massif (RMR, GSI and Q indexes), dynamic (Vp) and static properties (D and E) of rock masses are expressed using results of the detailed classification of the rock massif around the measuring point with dilatometer testing's.

Typical deformability diagrams from dilatometer tests are given in figure 3.




Figure 3. Typical diagram from dilatometer testing for a Rock Mass with low rating (RMR=20-25)

Diagrams shown on Figure 3 are basic for estimating of deformation modulus and elasticity modulus and imply not only to its' value than also to dependence of the modulus on pressure itself, so the point is rock mass "strengthening" or "softening" regarding to pressure.

Based on detail analyses, a numerous regression models are obtained in order to fulfill the necessary criteria for extrapolation. Here, several type of regression models can be used. For example, some regressive dependences between quality of rock mass RMR and velocities of longitudinal elastic waves ν and deformation modulus (D) for several locations (between them for dam "Sveta Petka" is presented in figure 4 and figure 5.





Figure 4. Regression curves between quality of rock mass RMR and velocities of longitudinal elastic waves v_I from the location on "Salakovac" dam *RMR*=9.8519 xv_I^{1.1721}and "Grabovica" dam






Figure 5. Correlative dependences between quality of rock mass RMR (GSI) and deformation modulus D from the location on "Salakovac" dam D=0.1369 $xe^{0.065RMR}$ (GPa), "Grabovica" dam D=1.6963 $xe^{0.0295RMR}$ (GPa), and "Sveta Petka" D=0.1104 $xe^{0.0703RMR}$ dam with known correlative dependences from literature [2, 5]

Advantage of analytical models showed in Figures 4 and 5, is that can make predictions and extrapolations relatively quickly and accurately and in that form is very appropriate for practical using. Whereat, when prediction of the parameters is made in this way, it needs to mention those different in situ tests are made with different levels of vertical stress, for different strengths, anisotropy and etc.

It is clear that if we combine empiric an field's methods, we can successfully cover a lot of cases which are important for project analysis, but it is also clear that examples on the figures always have to be carefully used, reexamined and attentively engaged in geotechnical models.

Summary

The presented empirical-static-dynamic method for data extrapolation can be very useful tool in preparation of geotechnical models for numerical analyses in tunneling.

It can be mentioned, that analytical models for prognosis of possible intervals of deformation modulus *D* are useful as input data in numerical analysis for relatively shallow tunnels.

It is important to underline, that the process of modelling must correspondence with design phases. Results from initial models in first design phases for a level of Preliminary Design, can indicate the need for new data in next phases, and this, in the other hand, influences the improvement of models or leads to new ideas for new model types.

We can conclude that there are many possibilities for further researches in this area.

The purpose is to improve and confirm the methodologies suggested in this article, yet not only when it comes to tunneling but also for other types of structures.

References

- [1]. Final Report of the geotechnical investigations and laboratory tests at the location of plant Matka's powerhouse in Skopje (2004) DGR Geotehnika-ADG Pelagonija, Skopje, 895 p.
- [2]. Geophysical and seismological characteristics of the site for new tunnels and machine hall of HEC"Matka" (2005) Report MacHydro Project AD-Skopje, Skopje, 281 p.
- [3]. Hoek, E., Carranza C., Corcum B., Hoek-Brown failure criterion-2002 Edition, Manual from program ROCKLAB (2002) Rockscience Inc., Toronto, Canada, 428 p.
- [4]. Usmanov, R., Rakocevic, M., Murgul, V., Vatin, N. Problems of sub-mountain area development associated with collapsing loess soils (case of Tajikistan) (2014) Applied Mechanics and Materials. Vols. 633-634 pp. 927-931
- [5]. Kovačič, B., Kamnik, R., Premrov, M. Deformation measurement of a structure with calculation of intermediate load phases (2011) Survey Review, 43 (320), pp. 150-161.
- [6]. Usmanov, R., Vatin, N., Murgul, V. Highly compacted and reinforced soil beds as an efficient method to build artificial foundation based on weak soils. (2014) Applied Mechanics and Materials. Vol. 680 pp 474-480
- [7]. Premrov, M., Špacapan, I. Solving exterior problems of wave propagation based on an iterative variation of local DtN operators (2004) Applied Mathematical Modelling, 28 (3), pp. 291-304.
- [8]. Lukić, D.Č., Prokić, A.D., Brčić, S.V. Stress state around cylindrical cavities in transversally isotropic rock mass (2014) Geomechanics and Engineering, 6 (3), pp. 213-233.
- [9]. Kovačič, B., Kamnik, R., Kapović, Z. Mathematical analysis of measured displacements with emphasis on polynomial interpolation (2009) Geodetski List, 63 (4), pp. 315-327.
- [10]. Premrov, M., Umek, A., Spacapan, I. An iterative FEM for solving elastodynamics in infinite domains (2000) ZAMM Zeitschrift fur Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik, 80 (4 SUPPL. 3), pp. S749-S750.
- [11].Kovačič, B., Kamnik, R. Accuracy of trigonometric heighting and monitoring the vertical displacements (2007) International Journal for Engineering Modelling, 20 (1-4), pp. 77-84.
- [12].Kovačič, B., Supej, B. The making of road body visualization for spatial verification [Izdelava vizualizacije cestnega telesa za potrebe prostorske preveritve] (2004) Geodetski Vestnik, 48 (1), pp. 40-49.
- [13].Xuan, X., Jian-Hong, W., Stamatovic, B. Iterative selection of unknown weights in direct weight optimization identification (2014) Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2014, art. no. 572092
- [14].Kovačič, B. Geodetical and theoretical methods for determination of movements with an emphasis on gross error detection [Geodetske in teoretične metode določanja pomikov s poudarkom na odkrivanju grobih pogreškov] (2004) Geodetski Vestnik, 48 (1), pp. 32-39.
- [15].Strokova L.A. Prognoz osedaniya zemnoy poverkhnosti pri stroitelstve gorodskikh tunneley. Vestnik MGSU . 2009. № 4 . S. 238-241.
- [16].Kupriyanov A.O. Geodezicheskoye obespecheniye pri stroitelstve trassy tunneley. Nauki o Zemle. 2013. № 1 (9). S. 032-038.
- [17].Strokova L.A. Ustanovleniye parametrov dlya prognoza osadki poverkhnosti pri stroitelstve tunneley. Ekologiya urbanizirovannykh territoriy. 2009. № 4. S. 93-99.

Один из подходов к экстраполяции параметров горного массива при строительстве туннелей

3. Зафировски¹

Университет Святых Кирилла и Мефодия в Скопье, бульвар "Гоце Делчев" бр. 9, 1000 Скопье, Республика Македония

Информация о статье	История	Ключевые слова
УДК 69	Подана в редакцию 20 мая 2015 Принята 30 мая 2015	экстраполяция, тоннельных сооружений, геофизическое испытание, геотехническая модель, горная масса

РИДИТОННА

При проектировании туннелей основным является рассмотренные в данной статье взаимодействия горных пород с инженерными сооружениями. Важным вопросом является экстраполяция параметра из зоны тестирования на весь объем, который представляет интерес для анализа взаимодействий в массструктуре системы каменной породы. Данное исследование демонстрирует эмпирически-статически-динамическую методологию экстраполяции. Эта методология основана на сочетании геотехнических и геофизических испытаний и классификаций горных масс, связанных с определением адекватных регрессивных моделей.

¹ Контактный автор: zafirovski@gf.ukim.edu.mk (Зафировски Златко, к.т.н., доцент)

Литература

- [1]. Final Report of the geotechnical investigations and laboratory tests at the location of plant Matka's powerhouse in Skopje (2004) DGR Geotehnika-ADG Pelagonija, Skopje, 895 p.
- [2]. Geophysical and seismological characteristics of the site for new tunnels and machine hall of HEC"Matka" (2005) Report MacHydro Project AD-Skopje, Skopje, 281 p.
- [3]. Hoek, E., Carranza C., Corcum B., Hoek-Brown failure criterion-2002 Edition, Manual from program ROCKLAB (2002) Rockscience Inc., Toronto, Canada, 428 p.
- [4]. Usmanov, R., Rakocevic, M., Murgul, V., Vatin, N. Problems of sub-mountain area development associated with collapsing loess soils (case of Tajikistan) (2014) Applied Mechanics and Materials. Vols. 633-634 pp. 927-931
- [5]. Kovačič, B., Kamnik, R., Premrov, M. Deformation measurement of a structure with calculation of intermediate load phases (2011) Survey Review, 43 (320), pp. 150-161.
- [6]. Usmanov, R., Vatin, N., Murgul, V. Highly compacted and reinforced soil beds as an efficient method to build artificial foundation based on weak soils. (2014) Applied Mechanics and Materials. Vol. 680 pp 474-480
- [7]. Premrov, M., Špacapan, I. Solving exterior problems of wave propagation based on an iterative variation of local DtN operators (2004) Applied Mathematical Modelling, 28 (3), pp. 291-304.
- [8]. Lukić, D.Č., Prokić, A.D., Brčić, S.V. Stress state around cylindrical cavities in transversally isotropic rock mass (2014) Geomechanics and Engineering, 6 (3), pp. 213-233.
- [9]. Kovačič, B., Kamnik, R., Kapović, Z. Mathematical analysis of measured displacements with emphasis on polynomial interpolation (2009) Geodetski List, 63 (4), pp. 315-327.
- [10]. Premrov, M., Umek, A., Spacapan, I. An iterative FEM for solving elastodynamics in infinite domains (2000) ZAMM Zeitschrift fur Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik, 80 (4 SUPPL. 3), pp. S749-S750.
- [11].Kovačič, B., Kamnik, R. Accuracy of trigonometric heighting and monitoring the vertical displacements (2007) International Journal for Engineering Modelling, 20 (1-4), pp. 77-84.
- [12].Kovačič, B., Supej, B. The making of road body visualization for spatial verification [Izdelava vizualizacije cestnega telesa za potrebe prostorske preveritve] (2004) Geodetski Vestnik, 48 (1), pp. 40-49.
- [13].Xuan, X., Jian-Hong, W., Stamatovic, B. Iterative selection of unknown weights in direct weight optimization identification (2014) Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2014, art. no. 572092
- [14].Kovačič, B. Geodetical and theoretical methods for determination of movements with an emphasis on gross error detection [Geodetske in teoretične metode določanja pomikov s poudarkom na odkrivanju grobih pogreškov] (2004) Geodetski Vestnik, 48 (1), pp. 32-39.
- [15].Строкова Л.А. Прогноз оседания земной поверхности при строительстве городских туннелей. Вестник МГСУ . 2009. № 4 . С. 238-241.
- [16].Куприянов А.О. Геодезическое обеспечение при строительстве трассы туннелей. Науки о Земле. 2013. № 1 (9). С. 032-038.
- [17].Строкова Л.А. Установление параметров для прогноза осадки поверхности при строительстве туннелей. Экология урбанизированных территорий. 2009. № 4. С. 93-99.

Зафировски 3. Один из подходов к экстраполяции параметров горного массива при строительстве туннелей // Строительство уникальных зданий и сооружений. 2015. №5(32). С. 150-158.

Zafirovski Z. One approach for extrapolation of rock mass parameters in tunneling. Construction of Unique Buildings and Structures, 2015, 5(32), Pp. 150-158.